A key technical challenge in cartilage tissue engineering is the development of a noninvasive method for monitoring the composition, structure, and function of the tissue at different growth stages. Due to its noninvasive, three-dimensional imaging capabilities and the breadth of available contrast mechanisms, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) techniques can be expected to play a leading role in assessing engineered cartilage. In this review, we describe the new MR-based tools (spectroscopy, imaging, and elastography) that can provide quantitative biomarkers for cartilage tissue development both in vitro and in vivo. Magnetic resonance spectroscopy can identify the changing molecular structure and alternations in the conformation of major macromolecules (collagen and proteoglycans) using parameters such as chemical shift, relaxation rates, and magnetic spin couplings. MRI provides high-resolution images whose contrast reflects developing tissue microstructure and porosity through changes in local relaxation times and the apparent diffusion coefficient. Magnetic resonance elastography uses low-frequency mechanical vibrations in conjunction with MRI to measure soft tissue mechanical properties (shear modulus and viscosity). When combined, these three techniques provide a noninvasive, multiscale window for characterizing cartilage tissue growth at all stages of tissue development, from the initial cell seeding of scaffolds to the development of the extracellular matrix during construct incubation, and finally, to the postimplantation assessment of tissue integration in animals and patients.

Introduction

Musculoskeletal disorders impact nearly one in three Americans annually, with injuries to cartilage accounting for a large fraction of these afflictions. In particular, damage to articular cartilage due to injuries in the knees, shoulders, and other joints comprises a major portion of these disorders. Osteoarthritis is a major cause of articular cartilage damage; one that is particularly evident in the aging population. Articular cartilage derives its load-bearing and viscoelastic properties from the tissue’s extracellular matrix (ECM), which is composed primarily of type II collagen, proteoglycan, and water. Mature cartilage is an avascular tissue with a three-layered zonal structure and a few active chondrocytes, which results in a tissue with poor self-healing capabilities. The ideal treatment for cartilage injuries would be to re-establish a new articular cartilage at the injury site that functions under load in a manner identical to the asymptomatic joint. The repair should be accomplished via arthroscopic surgery and thereafter monitored noninvasively.

To develop a replacement for damaged or diseased cartilage, tissue engineers use a variety of approaches. These techniques combine biocompatible scaffolds (natural or synthetic), appropriate cell types, and cell signaling molecules to create a tissue that mimics the functional native tissue. Scaffolds are selected based on their ability to promote adhesion and proliferation of the desired cell types, although scaffold-free methods have also been reported in the literature. As a cell source, either chondrocytes harvested from cartilage or mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) differentiating toward chondrocytes are used. Growth factors promoting chondrogenesis or biomimetic approaches are also used to promote chondrogenic differentiation of cells. Although much research progress has been reported in the past decade, the implantation of engineered cartilage tissue has not yet reached the orthopedic clinic. Scale up from animal studies and translation to the clinic requires noninvasive assessment of the growing tissue for an extended period of time (weeks to months). During this time, the researcher, physician, and the patient need specific information about all aspects of the ECM development and tissue integration.

New imaging tools are therefore needed to assess the success of current first-generation tissue regeneration techniques (microfracture, autographs, and autologous chondrocyte implantation), and to test the effectiveness of the emerging second-generation tissue-engineering methods.
(cells, scaffolds, and growth factor therapies). The imaging tools must be safe and ideally they should provide quantitative information at all stages of tissue growth, repair, and regeneration. Assessing the success of tissue engineering relies on our ability to observe cell growth, cellular differentiation, and tissue morphogenesis noninvasively and longitudinally. Different medical imaging modalities—optical, x-ray, and magnetic resonance—provide images with contrast that could be used to monitor the progress of growing engineered tissue. In addition, each technique has a characteristic resolution and a field of view that extends from the micron dimensions of a cell (for light microscopy) up to the length of the human femur (x-ray and magnetic resonance imaging [MRI]). These imaging tools are used at the bench, in the laboratory, and in the clinic: (1) to observe the cellular response to chemical growth factors and biomechanical forces, (2) to characterize the ability of scaffolds to support cell growth and differentiation, (3) to image the spatial organization of the ECM components, and ultimately, (4) to monitor the strength and integration of tissue implanted in animals and in humans. For example, in cartilage regeneration, tissue engineers must regulate and adjust many variables, from selecting the optimal cell-seeding density and timing for administration of growth factors, to monitoring in vivo development and integration of new tissue. A key aspect of this regeneration process is the feedback of information to the tissue engineer identifying the dynamics of tissue growth. Such feedback should be based on noninvasive imaging and available for the entire time period of tissue growth.

Presently, when tissue-engineered cartilage is being evaluated, the production of proteoglycan and type-II collagen are used as biomarkers for success. These ECM components are assessed by biochemical techniques that include quantitative glycosaminoglycan (GAG) and collagen assays, gene expression analyses using the quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction, and histological staining. When scaffolds are implanted in animals, they are removed at different time points and analyzed ex vivo. Although informative and quantitative, such techniques for visualizing tissue growth are destructive, nonlocalized, and restricted to an individual sampling time. Another concern is that these biological techniques are usually performed after freezing or fixing of the tissue, which changes the tissue’s properties, and therefore cannot provide de facto information about the dynamical processes and biomechanical properties in the in vivo environment. It is also noted that the analytical macromolecular content does not wholly represent the complex structural and functional aspects of cartilage, which are assessed by elasticity and molecular mobility in the tissue.

Magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS), MRI, and magnetic resonance elastography (MRE) are noninvasive methods that can provide biochemical, anatomical, and biomechanical measures of tissue. Figure 1 describes exemplary features of MR spectroscopy, imaging, and elastography techniques. MRS and imaging are well-established techniques that have been used to probe the structure and dynamics of biochemical changes in engineered tissues. MR elastography, while relatively new, is rapidly being employed to assess the mechanical properties of engineered tissues. Using MR spectroscopy, imaging, and elastography together, it is possible to create three-dimensional (3D) maps of chemical shifts, relaxation times, diffusion coefficient, spectral couplings, and tissue stiffness. These tissue parameters are strongly correlated with the physical, chemical, and mechanical properties of the growing tissue.

A proposed flowchart for the characterization of engineered tissue in vitro and in vivo using MR techniques is shown in Figure 2. Briefly, the chondrocytes or stem cells are expanded in a suitable scaffold-free or scaffold-based...
microenvironment with chondrogenic growth media used to ensure differentiation. The tissues are next grown in MR-compatible bioreactors or in a more traditional incubator under suitable culture conditions. The samples are taken for MRS, MRI, and MRE at the chosen time point and if grown in NMR-compatible bioreactors, they can be returned to the incubator for further growth. For in vivo studies, the implanted or regenerating tissue can be measured using MR techniques at the chosen time points on the same tissue constructs. Thus, it is possible to conduct a longitudinal study for the same tissue construct without destroying the tissue. Finally, quantitative MR-derived parametric maps (such as the chemical shift map, T2 and the apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) map, tissue-stiffness map) can be created using a specific pulse protocol for each tissue. Such information is vital to tissue engineers so that they can regulate and optimize the tissue growth conditions.

The sensitivity and specificity of MR techniques depend upon the choice of the magnetic field, nuclei, pulse sequence, and imaging gradients (for a review of the basic phenomena underlying MRI as applied to tissue engineering, see the earlier review by Xu et al.30). In this article, we will focus on the use of MRS, MRI, and MRE for assessing the growth of engineered cartilage tissue. We first discuss the principles of cartilage tissue engineering as practiced today. We then discuss recent advances using MR techniques to monitor cartilage tissue growth. We end with a discussion of the strengths and weaknesses of the individual techniques and the outlook for future developments.

**Cartilage Tissue Engineering**

Articular cartilage has a three-layered zonal structure that is composed of chondrocytes and ECM components:
proteoglycans, collagen, and synovial fluid. The collagen–proteoglycan network provides the mechanical strength needed for its load-bearing function. The synovial fluid provides nutrients to chondrocytes and is essential for maintaining a low-friction surface needed for articulation.

These zones are named as the superficial zone (SZ), transitional zone (TZ), radial zone (RZ) with calcified zone (CZ) connecting to the bone as depicted in Figure 3. The cellular and ECM properties are depth dependent as shown in Figure 3. The natural cartilage’s shear modulus is found to be of the order of ~0.5–4 MPa and it changes with depth below the articular surface. It is recognized that both the collagen mesh network and mobility of proteoglycan aggregates contribute to the tissue stiffness and elasticity. The overall goal of cartilage tissue engineering is to mimic this natural structure using cells, scaffolds, growth factors, and mechanical conditions.

Scaffolds composed of natural polymers such as, polysaccharide-based hydrogels (alginate beads, chitosan, or agarose) or protein-based hydrogels (collagen or fibrin) are now commonly used in cartilage tissue engineering. However, because of the ease with which synthetic polymer hydrogels can be functionalized and modified, polyethylene glycol (PEG) and PEG-derived hydrogels or -fibrins are also making their way into cartilage tissue engineering. Unfortunately, both types of scaffolds yield products that fall short of the biochemical and mechanical properties of the native tissue. Much research is currently underway to improve cartilage tissue-engineering techniques. Chondrocytes derived from natural sources or from MSCs differentiating toward chondrocytes are commonly used as a cell source in cartilage tissue engineering; however, there are unmet challenges in expanding and maintaining chondrocytes (e.g., the composition of the construct and the regulation of culture conditions need careful monitoring). In addition, chondrogenic growth factors play a key role in chondrocyte differentiation and cartilage generation. The most challenging aspect of cartilage tissue engineering is to replicate the natural compressive behavior of cartilage under mechanical loading conditions and its porous structure for cell nutrients. Mechanical stimulations in the form of dynamic compression and hydrostatic pressure have been found to be effective in promoting chondrogenic differentiation of stem cells and chondrocytes; however, results are mixed for other types of mechanical stimulation.

Many of the early efforts in cartilage tissue engineering were focused on the production of proteoglycan and collagen as the measure of success; however, recent work attempts to achieve the more difficult goal of creating engineered cartilage with a three-layered zonal structure and the mechanical strength of native tissue. A recent work by Moutos et al. uses the 3D microweaving technique with controlled growth factor delivery to achieve a three-layered zonal structure and desired concentration of ECM molecules to create a native-like synthetic tissue. Another recent work also targets the development of a custom-shaped engineered tissue graft specifically designed for the cartilage defect in each patient.

The future success of cartilage tissue-engineering hinges on the development of implants that have the biochemical, anatomical, and mechanical properties of native tissue. Thus,
as engineered cartilage tissues are designed with increasing complexity, new noninvasive and volumetric monitoring imaging techniques will be needed to visualize tissue growth in vitro and in vivo. MR-based techniques, can address these needs by providing high-resolution multislice images, spectroscopic analysis of macromolecular composition and structure (cells, collagen, and proteoglycan), and elastography maps of tissue stiffness. All this information can be displayed in the form of quantitative maps of growing tissue that is acquired from living animals or humans in a noninvasive and longitudinal manner.

Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy

MRS (or NMR spectroscopy as it is popularly known) records the radiofrequency signal whose amplitude and frequency are spectral fingerprints for the molecular structure and dynamics. Three biologically relevant NMR-active nuclear isotopes, proton (^1H), carbon (^13C), and sodium (^23Na), have been studied in cartilage tissue engineering. These nuclei possess a nuclear magnetic moment with a nonzero spin quantum number. When placed in a strong static magnetic field (11.7 to 17.6 Tesla) and excited by an appropriate radiofrequency signal suitable for NMR-active nuclei (125 to 750 MHz), the nuclear spin states absorb and re-emit electromagnetic energy with a characteristic relaxation time scale depending upon the environment around the nuclei. Two time constants are commonly used in an NMR experiment for determining the molecular motion, the longitudinal relaxation time, T1, and the transverse relaxation time, T2. The complexity of NMR spectra results from the dependence of nuclear spin state energies on specific interactions (e.g., isotropic and anisotropic chemical shifts, through bond scalar interactions via J couplings and through space interactions (magnetic-magnetic dipole interaction), and electric quadrupolar interactions for the spin quantum number greater than one half). In a simple liquid, because of the rapid molecular tumbling, all anisotropic interactions average out leaving only the isotropic values of chemical shift and J-coupling. In solids, the strong anisotropic interactions, dipolar and quadrupolar coupling dominates the line shape of NMR spectra. As a consequence, the NMR lines for simple liquids are sharp and well resolved, while they are broad and featureless for solids. In most cases, biological tissues fall between these two extremes and multidimensional multinuclear spectroscopy must be used to resolve the nuclear sites and structural features.

The signal to noise ratio (SNR) for NMR spectra depends on the gyromagnetic ratio, γ, of the observed nuclei and the strength of nuclear interactions at the location of nuclei. Among the NMR-active nuclei, protons have the largest gyromagnetic ratio (42.58 MHz/Tesla), and thus, the highest SNR. The ^13C nucleus, which has a lower gyromagnetic ratio (10.71 MHz/Tesla) or sensitivity than protons, has also been used in NMR for studying native and engineered cartilage. NMR spectroscopy with ^13C has the advantage of giving a wider chemical shift range (∼ 220 ppm) as compared to protons (∼ 10 ppm), making the spectral assignment easier because of less overlap between different spectral groups. Special NMR spectroscopy techniques such as high-resolution magic angle spinning (HRMAS), cross-polarization magic angle spinning (CPMAS), and heteronuclear decoupling, which reduce line broadening significantly and enhance SNR, particularly for ^13C NMR, have been used for NMR investigations of natural cartilage.

Water is the most abundant molecule in biological tissues; therefore, the water signal dominates the proton NMR spectra of cartilage. The diffusion of water provides a window into tissue composition and dynamics. Using a long observation time in a diffusion NMR experiment, it has been shown that the typical mesh size for the collagen network in natural cartilage is on the order of 10 µm, which is rather large, and thus allows proteoglycan molecules to move freely and to exhibit a liquid-like motion with sharp resonances. Using ^13C CPMAS, HRMAS, and pulsed field gradient diffusion NMR, Huster et al. concluded that the cartilage collagen undergoes a faster motion when compared with isolated collagen, whereas the motion of the chondroitin sulfate chain associated with proteoglycans is more restricted compared with the motion observed in a chondroitin sulfate solution. These investigators also found that the side chains of the triple helix structure of collagen are more flexible than the core chains. This unique information about molecular mobility, which in turn provides information about porosity noninvasively, is only available through NMR investigations.

To observe the proton signatures associated directly with the other ECM components, the water proton signal needs to be suppressed. The proton spectra associated with the macromolecules in the tissue, such as lipids, collagen, and proteoglycan aggregates, provide direct information about these macromolecules and they are important characteristics when investigating the development of engineered cartilage tissue. Using water suppressed proton NMR spectroscopy, Koecha et al. confirmed a previously published study showing that the chondrocyte pellet culture produces higher amounts of proteoglycans and collagen when compared with chondrocytes seeded in an alginate bead culture system. This article also reported that the residual dipolar coupling derived from proton double-quantum coherence spectroscopy was much smaller for the tissue grown as chondrocyte pellets and chondrocytes seeded in alginate beads when compared with the native tissue, which suggests a lack of preferred orientation in the collagen produced in engineered tissues.

Scheidt et al. used ^13C HRMAS NMR to study the site-specific motion of ^13C nuclei in chondrocytes seeded in a collagen hydrogel for 3 weeks of culture time. They found that the T2 relaxation times of the carbon atoms in engineered tissue are shorter than those observed in the native tissue. Schulz et al. have used ^13C magic angle spinning solid state NMR spectroscopy to quantify cell proliferation, metabolic activity, ECM composition, GAG mobility, and nanoarchitecture of engineered cartilage. Clearly, ^13C NMR spectroscopy is a useful tool for probing cartilage tissue engineering, and it will play an important role in understanding the growth dynamics in vitro and in vivo. However, the ^13C nuclei have a low natural abundance and a high value of dipolar coupling with protons. These factors increase the spectral line width and reduce SNR, which makes ^13C MRS a challenge. Therefore, the emerging signal-enhancing techniques such as the dynamic nuclear polarization, low-power heteronuclear decoupling, the fast magic angle spinning, and paramagnetic signal enhancement will play an important
role in extending the in vitro study of engineered cartilage using $^{13}$C NMR spectroscopy in the future. However, many of these new techniques cannot be performed in vivo, but they are still useful in adding to our understanding of the mechanism of tissue growth.

Sodium ($^{23}$Na) is the second most abundant NMR-active nucleus with a gyromagnetic ratio of 11.26 MHz/Tesla. It has been widely used to study cartilage. Sodium binds to the negatively charged proteoglycans, and therefore, its concentration in cartilage is twice (~240–300 mM) as high as that in the tissue fluid (~150 mM). The GAG component of proteoglycans is directly correlated with the sodium signal intensity in an MRS/MRI experiment. In the early stages of osteoarthritis, the loss of proteoglycans reduces the local sodium ion concentration and this change can be measured quantitatively by using sodium NMR/MRI. The potential of sodium MRI to monitor the proteoglycan concentration in engineered cartilage was demonstrated by Novotny et al. They used a 4.7 T research scanner to generate sodium maps of tissue samples showing an average concentration range of 260–278 mM after 8 weeks of incubation. However, more studies of this type need to be completed.

Sodium is a spin 3/2 quadrupolar nucleus and when this quadrupolar interaction is not averaged out by rapid motion (for example, sodium ions in biological tissues), double- and triple-quantum coherence spectra with biexponential relaxation times are observed, which provides an insight into the changes in the tissue microenvironment during the different developmental stages observed in cartilage tissue engineering.

Multidimensional solid-state NMR spectroscopy is a standard tool for the study of the structure and interactions at the molecular level for membrane proteins and amyloid fibrils, and it can also be used as a tool to probe the structure and dynamics of tissue formation. Such information would be useful for tissue engineers who do not have access to these tools and rely on empirical approaches to make progress in assessing tissue growth strategies.

**Magnetic Resonance Imaging**

MRI generates 3D images of soft tissues with a submillimeter resolution. Image contrast can be tuned to the water content, NMR relaxation times ($T_1$ or $T_2$), or the ADC. Native cartilage consists of 75% water (wet tissue weight) permeating a complex matrix of macromolecules (largely type II collagen and proteoglycans). The structural and morphological changes that arise in the development of engineered tissue become visible in $T_1$- or $T_2$-weighted images after as few as two weeks of incubation. The ADC also decreases by a factor of three or more as the motion of water becomes more hindered and restricted by the synthesis of macromolecules. Thus, we can expect MRI to be sensitive to changes in the tissue microenvironment during the different developmental stages observed in cartilage tissue engineering.

In developing tissue, the changing porosity and tortuosity partitions water into multiple compartments (e.g., water bound to proteins or trapped in cells and organelles), which restricts the exchange and mixing of the free and bound water fractions on the millisecond time scales used in MRI data acquisition. The dynamics of these processes are known to correlate with the ECM components in developing cartilage, and they can be measured in terms of the $T_{1\rho}$ relaxation times, sodium MRI, and magnetization transfer (MT). Much of the current work using MRI to monitor tissue-engineered cartilage is focused on these more specific measures of tissue complexity.

Yin et al., for example, found that the relaxation times and diffusion coefficient ($T_1$, $T_1\rho$, ADC, and $T_2$) all decreased ($T_1$ by 18%, $T_2$ by 42%, and ADC by 26%) in a 4-week study of chondrocyte pellets (a scaffold-free tissue-engineering model). However, in a 4-week study of chondrocytes grown in an agarose gel, Miyata et al. found that while the longitudinal relaxation times ($T_1$) and apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) decreased, the transverse relaxation time ($T_2$) increased. These results demonstrate that MRI analysis is able to detect unexpected changes in the tissue microenvironment through measurements, in this case, of the $T_2$ relaxation times.

In recent high SNR studies of native articular cartilage, the $T_1$ relaxation time was found to be highly correlated with the proteoglycan content, the ADC and $T_{1\rho}$ parameters were found to reflect the total amount of collagen and proteoglycans, and the $T_2$ relaxation time was associated with both the amount of collagen and the orientation of collagen fibers. However, despite this interrelationship between MRI parameters and cartilage components, a study by Greco and Spencer of engineered cartilage grown in a hollow fiber bioreactor system showed excellent correlation over 4 weeks of incubation time between the $T_1$, $T_2$, ADC parameters and both proteoglycans and collagen content, while the MT ratio...
was found to be better correlated with the amount of collagen. It is likely that during engineered tissue growth, both the T₁ and T₂ relaxation times would decrease due to accumulation of both proteoglycans and collagen. It is possible to separate the contributions of water, proteoglycans and collagen content to the observed T₂ data of engineered cartilage using multieponential analysis, but such experiments require a very high SNR, hence, use of signals from the entire sample, not from individual image pixels. Nevertheless, using this technique, Reiter et al. have found that the proteoglycan component in cartilage tissue taken from young and mature bovine nasal cartilage was highly correlated with T₂ and with the biochemical analysis. Using sodium and T₁rho MRI, Novotny et al. have shown that culture of high-density porcine chondrocytes produced a similar amount of proteoglycans in 8 weeks as found in natural cartilage. In addition, Li et al. have shown a statistically significant correlation between MT parameters (bound water fraction and cross-relaxation rates) and the GAG content-engineered cartilage tissue for the period of 3 weeks. Finally, Irrechukwu et al. used both T₂ and MT to monitor the stimulatory effects of pulsed low-intensity ultrasound on the development of ECM components (proteoglycans and collagen) after 3 and 5 weeks of treatment.

In addition to quantifying the amount of proteoglycans and collagen present in developing cartilage tissue, tracking cell differentiation and proliferation is also important for optimizing strategies for cartilage tissue engineering. As discussed in the Cartilage Tissue Engineering section, chondrocytes and MSCs are commonly used as a cell source for cartilage tissue engineering and regeneration. One way to observe these cells is to label them with superparamagnetic iron oxide (SPIO) particles. SPIO particles were used for tracking chondrocytes grown in agarose by Ramaswamy et al. for an incubation time of 6 weeks. In another study, the T₂ values of SPIO-labeled cells were found to decrease with the increasing cell number, which can be used as a signal contrast standard for labeled cells. SPIO-labeled chondrocytes were also shown to maintain their phenotype and to continue the production of cartilage-specific ECM components in hollow-fiber bioreactor experiments or when grown in alginate beads. It was also confirmed that the chondrocyte differentiation of human bone marrow-derived MSCs seeded in porcine osteochondral plugs was not affected by SPIO labeling in vitro and this strategy can be used for MRI cell tracking in cartilage tissue engineering effectively. Chen et al. observed optimal low-dosage SPIO-labeled cells in a minipig model and found that the labeled cells could be tracked for at least 12 weeks using T₂-weighted MR imaging. Recently, there have also been attempts to visualize cell clusters without SPIO labeling with a resolution of 40 μm³ by optimizing the MRI data acquisition conditions, which opens up new avenues for tissue-engineered cartilage tracking as well. It is anticipated that by optimized MRI for cell tracking, future MR imaging of cell numbers and distribution in engineered cartilage tissue may be possible.

**Magnetic Resonance Elastography**

MRE uses MRI to visualize shear wave motion in tissue perturbed by low-frequency (50–5000 Hz) mechanical vibrations. From the recorded motion sensitive phase data, images of the tissue mechanical properties (the shear modulus and viscosity) can be reconstructed. Changes in the mechanical properties of articular cartilage provide a direct indication of its integrity. In general, the mechanical properties of cartilage can be classified by its tensile, compressive, and shear properties. While the compressive properties are commonly assessed using ex vivo samples by the mechanical application of uniaxial tensile or compression forces, the shear properties, which are derived from volume-conserving deformations, can be observed ex vivo using shear loading or by using ultrasonic or MR elastography. The tensile properties of SZs are found to be important in cartilage health; however, MR elastography methods are not suited for such studies.

The relationship between transverse and axial strain is given by the Poisson ratio, which links the shear and compression properties of materials (elastic, bulk, and shear moduli). The tissue property commonly assessed in MRE is represented by the (complex) shear modulus G, which is defined at a specific frequency (ω), as the ratio of shear stress and shear strain. The real part of G, the storage modulus G′, is a measure of the ability of a material to store energy. The imaginary part of G″, the loss modulus characterizes the dissipation of mechanical energy. The complex modulus therefore is a frequency-dependent quantity, G(ω). For biological tissues, there is a consensus that power law models are the most suitable for characterizing the observed frequency behavior (dispersion) of G(ω). In these models, the frequency variation of G(ω) involves terms raised to fractional powers (typically described by n, where 0 < n < 1). A rheological model exhibiting an n power law dispersion is the so-called springpot (or single fractional element). The springpot’s stress/strain behavior is intermediate between the spring (n = 0) and the dashpot (n = 1), and can be visualized as a fractal arrangement of a large number of individual elastic springs and viscous dashpots. Schiessel and Blumen have shown that the power n depends on the geometrical arrangement and the relative values of the basic elements. In dynamic elastography, the wave velocity and the damping coefficient are directly related to the complex modulus G. The stiffness, a property often specified in elastographic studies, is defined as the squared wave velocity multiplied with the volume density of a material. In the elastic case, the loss modulus is assumed to be zero, and as a consequence, the stiffness is frequency independent and identical to the storage modulus G′. Hence, in this simple case, G′ can be determined if the wave velocity (frequency times wavelength) and the density are known, or measured using MRI.

The stiffness of articular cartilage reflects the mesh of its collagen-rich fibril network and the distribution of proteoglycans in this mesh. From the Poisson ratio and the bulk modulus of natural cartilage—derived in ex vivo non-MRE mechanical compression tests—we can assess the static shear stiffness, which is nonuniform, and increases moving from the superficial into the deeper regions, (changes on the order of ~0.5–4.0 MPa). Engineered protocartilage tissue is much less stiff, with stiffness values that range from MRE derived ~3 kPa for chondrocytes encased in alginate beads up to nanoindentation derived 0.5 MPa for engineered tissue augmented with the polyglycolic acid yarn to mimic collagen fibers in zonal artificial cartilage.
stiffness also changes over the entire tissue growth phase as cells proliferate, differentiate, generate ECM components, and as the scaffold degrades. Since cartilage is always undergoing mechanical loads, information about its stiffness is critical for selecting the best scaffold for each application, as well as for monitoring the development of the engineered tissue, both in vitro and in vivo. Current mechanical measurement techniques, such as uniaxial compression tests, while useful for showing stiffness changes over time, are destructive for tissue-engineered cartilage and cannot provide a complete volumetric map of the tissue’s mechanical properties.

MRE can provide quantitative maps of tissue’s mechanical properties. In an MRE experiment, external vibrations in the audio range are introduced into the target tissue and snapshots of the resulting mechanical wave are encoded in the phase of the MR signal using motion-sensitized MR pulse sequences. The mechanical properties of the tissue are determined by applying inversion techniques to recover tissue stiffness from the wave images. Treating tissue as a viscoelastic material, direct inversion of the wave equation can be performed to reconstruct images of the complex modulus \( G(\omega) \). While providing both, the storage and the loss modulus, this approach is very sensitive to noise and requires the assumption of an infinite medium. A more stable inversion can be achieved using the local frequency estimation (LFE). From the LFE-derived wavelengths, an image of the stiffness can be calculated, but at the cost of not being able to determine the loss modulus. In specimens with finite geometries (e.g., thin plates and articular cartilage) and with minimal damping, wave reflections occur, which make it necessary to apply finite element-based inversion algorithms for the determination of \( G(\omega) \). Fortunately, independent of the inversion technique used, the frequency-independent tissue parameters can be found by fitting the dispersion relations of selected rheological models to the experimental \( G(\omega) \).

While not yet widely used in the study of cartilage, MRE was shown to be sensitive to the grade of liver fibrosis and it has revealed abnormal mechanical properties in the brains of patients suffering from neurodegenerative diseases. In these soft tissues, the macroscopic mechanical behavior (stiffness) has highly correlated changes in the tissue composition and structure identified by histology on a microscopic scale. Therefore, it is reasonable to expect that changes in the structure and composition of engineered cartilage during growth will alter the MRE-derived stiffness. A few preliminary MRE studies on engineered tissue constructs have already been conducted. This work applied line-profile MRE to osteogenic and adipogenic tissue constructs embedded in gelatin sponges for 4 weeks and found that, while the stiffness increased in osteogenic tissues it decreased in adipose tissues, reflecting the stiffening of the developing bone and the softening of the prototype adipose tissue.

MRE of articular cartilage is challenging because of large (MPa) values of the tissue stiffness and the small dimensions (~2-mm thickness). This requires the application of mechanical excitation frequencies in the kHz range. The main issue with high-frequency excitation is the strong damping of shear waves. Using a 5-kHz excitation frequency, Lopez et al. were able to measure the mean shear stiffness of 8-mm-thick natural bovine hyaline cartilage plugs and found it to be on the order of 2 MPa. In this study, they also reported a decrease in tissue stiffness following selective enzymatic degradation, which released the proteoglycans from the cartilage. To compensate for the strong damping at kHz frequencies, Yasar et al. have developed a novel geometric focusing approach, in which, the energy absorption is compensated for by the concentration of the wave energy on gradually decreasing wave fronts. This technique has been successfully applied to determine the mechanical properties of alginate beads suspended in the agarose gel. In a different MRE study, Yin Z. et al. measured the tissue stiffness of chondrocyte pellets (scaffold-free model) over 4 weeks of culture time and found that as proteoglycans and collagen content increases, the tissue stiffness also increases by threefold (see Fig. 4). To apply such MRE techniques in vivo, and in stiffer materials, such as fully developed cartilage, advances are needed in MRI hardware as well as for MRE, more efficient mechanical actuators (particularly at high excitation frequencies), faster MRE pulse sequences, and gradient coils with faster rise times.

The MRE studies, described above, focus on shear mechanical properties of tissue. A very recent work reports that the compressive properties also correlate with physiological changes in the human brain. Hirsch et al. claim that the MRE-derived volumetric strain, expressed as the divergence of the 3D displacement field, is related to the dilation and compression of fluid pores. As such, the volumetric strain might also be suitable for monitoring the growth of the porous scaffold of engineered cartilage.

**In Vivo MR Techniques for Monitoring of Cartilage Tissue Engineering and Repair**

The MRS, MRI, and MRE techniques for assessing cartilage tissue engineering described in this review have emphasized their use for in vitro and ex vivo studies; however, the techniques in most cases can be adopted for in vivo cartilage regeneration studies with some modifications to improve the RF coil filling factor and SNR. MRI is currently used to study the cartilage regeneration and restoration in animal models and in human patients, and there have been attempts to incorporate MRS information as well.

In vivo studies using bioreactors (incubators) and animal models are important for validating new approaches of tissue engineering. MR compatible bioreactors allow the investigator to continuously monitor tissue regeneration over a period of days to weeks, which is important for optimizing growth conditions and viewing developing tissue structures. Recent 7-week studies of chondrocytes growing in an MR-compatible hollow cylinder bioreactor tracked the development of new cartilage-like tissue using \( T_2 \) relaxation times and SPIO nanoparticles. Alternatively, in vivo imaging of small animal models (e.g., SCID mice, rats, and rabbits) can be performed weekly to test the efficacy of tissue-engineering strategies, often using narrow bore (20–30 cm) high field (4.7–11 Tesla) animal MRI systems. Large animals (e.g., dogs, goats, pigs, and horses) have also been used for cartilage repair studies, but they present challenges as clinical MR scanners must be used in most cases. The advantage of using large animal models is the relatively thick cartilage of these animals and the clear ability to translate findings into clinical protocols. Small animal cartilage MRI in rats and mice is...
feasible, however, because of the small size of cartilage, its use is often limited to the high field systems. Small animals can, of course, be used as a bioreactor in MRI studies designed to observe tissue growth and if successful, the implanted cartilage tissue and its interaction with the host tissue can be periodically monitored.

The application of multiparametric MRI (3D relaxation time and diffusion coefficient maps) for assessing cartilage regeneration after treatment or surgery in large animal models is under active investigation. For example, in studies of the repair of osteochondral defects in rabbits, Kim et al. did not find any difference after 6 weeks between the $T_2$ values of the control and the repair, while Ramaswamy et al. did report a difference after 5 weeks. For an excellent review of cartilage repair in large animal models with emphasis on assessing the cartilage microstructure during repair using as relaxation times ($T_1$ and $T_2$), see the recent article by Trattnig et al. MRI is also used clinically to monitor cartilage repair and regeneration procedures not involving tissue-engineered constructs, but these studies are beyond the scope of this article.

**Outlook and Future Prospects**

In Figure 4, we present an example of how MR spectroscopy, imaging, and elastography techniques have been used to monitor tissue growth in chondrocyte pellets (a scaffold-free tissue-engineering model) over a period of 3 weeks. This study has the advantages of the combined modality approach in which, we visualize tissue growth and collect quantitative data on each pixel (or regions of interest) without the need for contrast agents, tissue sampling, or sample fixation. In addition, these techniques can provide information about collagen fibril orientation, tissue stiffness and porosity not readily available using other methods. We expect that these techniques will play a major role in monitoring cartilage tissue engineering in the future.

In Table 1, we provide a summary of selected MR parameters that are correlated with changes in the tissue composition and structure. This table can serve as a guide to tissue engineers when selecting techniques for characterizing engineered cartilage and it provides an estimate of the range of information MRS, MRI, and MRE can provide.

Assessment of tissue engineering using MR-based technology is promising; however, its use by tissue engineers is not yet wide spread. The factors inhibiting more widespread use of MR techniques for monitoring and assessing cartilage tissue engineering laboratories are varied: the availability of an MR scanner or spectrometer near to the tissue engineering laboratory, the need to establish a team with MR specialists, the expense, and the use of often long time data acquisition methods. The MR community is actively working to address many of these concerns and to bring the technology closer to tissue-engineering groups. A bench-top MRI scanner developed by the Mader group for characterization of scaffolds is one such example. In the clinical setting, gadolinium-enhanced MRI could prove to be useful; therefore, the correlation of proteoglycan with a fixed charged density derived from gadolinium-enhanced MRI for cartilage tissue-engineering constructs is encouraging. In addition, as sodium MRI becomes more feasible (with the advent of more high field clinical MR scanners), it may be possible to derive fixed charge density information without the use of a contrast agent. MRS has many untapped advantages and provides quantitative information about engineered tissues, however, it is time-consuming and the SNR for useful MR techniques such as water suppressed MRS, $^{13}$C and $^{23}$Na MRS and multiple-quantum

**FIG. 4.** An example of MR assessment of engineered cartilage tissue development using MR spectroscopy, imaging, and elastography measurements. Here we followed the growth of scaffold-free chondrocyte pellets over 3 weeks of culture time. Further details are listed in the text in appropriate sections. Color images available online at www.liebertpub.com/teb
coherence MR is low. As discussed in MRS sections, the development of new signal-enhancing techniques will help resolve some of these issues in the future. MRS can also be used to understand the dynamics and structure of engineered tissues and to monitor tissue formation. MRI is currently used for monitoring the cartilage repair and regeneration and the growth of engineered cartilage. MRI provides parametric images, which can be directly correlated with the tissue composition and microstructure. The main shortcoming of MRI at the moment seems to be the lack of standards for assessing relaxation parameters and efforts are underway to resolve this issue.\textsuperscript{137,138} MR elastography, while relatively new in the field of tissue engineering is gaining popularity because it is able to directly measure the mechanical properties of the tissue. New techniques are under development to increase the dynamic range and to improve the resolution, as well as to extend the method to include compression as well as shear moduli. We expect that in the near future, all the MR-based techniques discussed above will augment the current characterization methods so as to provide quantitative information of cartilage tissue growth at all stages, from cell seeding to postimplantation in animals and in the clinic.
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